

(230) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23109 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.04.2019

Vimal Kumari Yadav and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Challenge is to list Annexure P-3 uploaded on the official website of the department on 28.07.2017 vide which the name of the petitioners have been reflected in the list of employees eligible for transfer/compulsory participation in online transfer drive with the remarks "surplus redistribution since highest tenure of service". Further prayer is for quashing transfer orders Annexure P-10 (colly) dated 03.08.2017.

[2] No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

[3] Learned Sr. DAG states that pursuant to order dated 10.10.2017, representations (i.e. Annexure's P8 and P9) filed by the petitioners in respect of their grievances were considered by the competent authority and transfer orders of the petitioners were cancelled and copy of the same are attached with the written statement as Annexures R-I and R-II. Learned Sr. DAG states that in the circumstances, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

[3] In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous with liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival if cause of action arises.

11.04.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.