

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-21262-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.09.2019

Uma Rani

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner is stated to be aged 32 years. She was married to respondent no.4 in the year 2011. Petitioner, as per averments made on record has left her matrimonial home and is staying with an acquaintance/friend.

Instant petition seeks a Mandamus for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing a threat to the same at the hands of private respondents no.4 to 6.

During the course of arguments counsel has very fairly apprised the Court that the petitioner has already instituted a divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act. She has also filed an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C seeking maintenance and such proceedings are still pending.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner. Rather the instant petition would be seen as a fall out of a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and respondent no.4 and in relation to which proceedings already stand initiated and are pending.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.09.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No