

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
104

CWP-21364-2018

Date of decision: 17.07.2019

MAKHAN SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

-*-

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Financial Commissioner, Punjab, whereby not only the *Sanad Takseem* has been issued but thereafter, the parties have been put in possession and the entries in the revenue record have also been incorporated.

The challenge to the order of the *Sanad Takseem* by way of the revision petition before the Financial Commissioner, Punjab, was on the ground that the petitioner had been proceeded against ex-parte wrongly as he was never served during the partition proceedings. The Financial Commissioner, in the light of the fact that the partition proceedings on the basis of the *Sanad Takseem* had also been finalized, has held that the revenue authorities do not interfere after the finalization of the said proceedings and the Civil Court is the competent authority to decide the said aspect. Accordingly, the revision petition was rejected.

There is no illegality in the order dated 16.01.2017 passed by the Financial Commissioner, Punjab which would call for interference by this Court.

Petitioner is advised to avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 17, 2019

pj

WHETHER SPEAKING/REASONED: YES/NO

WHETHER REPORTABLE : YES/NO