

CRM-M-32656-2019 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CRM-M-32656-2019 (O&M).
Decided on : September 23, 2019.**

Bhura Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.A.S.Barnala, Advocate, for
for the petitioners.**

Mr.S.P.S.Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Respondent No.2 – complainant in person.

*** * ***

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.75, dated 3.6.2019, registered under Sections 436 and 427/34 IPC, at Police Station Barnala, District Barnala and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued and the parties were directed to remain present in this Court on the next date of hearing.

Today, the petitioners-accused and respondent no.2, are present, duly identified by counsel for the petitioners. They have filed their respective affidavits in Court today with regard to the compromise/settlement, which are marked as 'A' and 'B'.

Counsel for the petitioners and respondent no.2 present in person, pray that in view of the aforesaid facts, the FIR and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

Counsel for the State of Punjab states that in view of the settlement between the parties, the State would not stand in the way of the settlement and would not oppose the quashing of the FIR and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the affidavits filed by the parties. I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners-accused and the respondent no.2 have resolved their differences by a bona fide compromise, without any coercion or undue influence. Both the parties acknowledge the settlement/compromise.

In the considered opinion of this Court, it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of Court settlement by way of compromise. The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

For the aforesaid view, this Court finds support from

Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3)

CRM-M-32656-2019 (O&M)

RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others
(2012) 10 SCC 303.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned and to secure the ends of justice, FIR No.75, dated 3.6.2019, registered under Sections 436 and 427/34 IPC, at Police Station Barnala, District Barnala and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed, however, qua the petitioners only.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands allowed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

September 23, 2019.
raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No