

**252 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2646-2016(O&M)
Date of decision-07.02.2019**

Teja Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, D.A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

As per the reply dated 20.11.2018, filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, the inquiry stands completed and a cut in the pension to the extent of 10% for the next ten years has been ordered by respondent No.1 vide order dated 06.04.2017 (Annexure RA/4) and the petitioner has been sanctioned/granted his remaining benefits in addition to the benefits already passed on to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid submission made by the learned State counsel.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner is at liberty to lay challenge to the order dated 06.04.2017 (Annexure RA/4).

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

07.02.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No