

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2738 of 2015

Date of decision: 20.08.2019

Pawan Kumar Gupta and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that relief claimed in the writ petition having been granted to petitioner Nos.1 and 3, the writ petition qua aforementioned petitioners be disposed of as having become infructuous while qua petitioner No.2, the writ petition be disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and take a decision with regard to the claim of petitioner No.2 in the light of order passed in favour of petitioner Nos.1 and 3.

2. Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

3. In the light of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous qua petitioner Nos.1 and 3 while qua petitioner No.2, the respondents are directed to consider and take a decision with regard to the claim in

the context of order passed in case of petitioner Nos.1 and 3, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

20.08.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

1. Whether speaking/reasoned
- :
- Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable
- :
- Yes/No.