

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

Crl. Misc. No.M-32968 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.11.2019

Parminder Rai @ Happy

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition filed under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

The petitioner having been in custody for the past 1 year and 4 months, with 15 prosecution witnesses still to be examined, but with all material witnesses having been examined as per the learned State counsel, who says so on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, though learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to them, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

19.11.2019

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)

Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No