

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22778 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2019

Parveen

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM No.19020 of 2019

CM is allowed. The date of hearing in the main case is preponed from 24.03.2020 to today.

CWP No.22778 of 2017

Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing Annexure P-7 dated 04.05.2017 to the extent vide which monthly financial assistance admissible under the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employee, 2006 has not been granted to the petitioner for the services rendered by her husband and also for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to release Ex-gratia benefits under the scheme and benefit of family pension along with other consequential benefits and interest thereon in favour of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel states that in view of the written statement filed by Sh.Ravinder P. Pathak, General Manager, Haryana Roadways,

Yamunanagar i.e. respondent No.3 on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 that retiral benefits permissible to Sachin i.e. son of deceased employee Rajinder Kumar, would be released as per provisions of the Family Pension Rules, 1964 and relevant instructions, on receipt of relevant documents and completion of formalities by the petitioner, the petitioner does not press the writ petition and would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of, at this stage, by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of Sachin, son of deceased Rajinder Kumar, in accordance with the stand in preliminary submission Nos.3 and 4 in the written statement by getting the requisite formalities completed as expeditiously as possible.

3. Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Sr. DAG, Haryana, states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner.

4. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim of Sachin, son of deceased employee Rajinder Kumar, in the light of stand in paragraph Nos.3 and 4 of the preliminary submissions and to release the benefits as are admissible in accordance with law within a period of two months after getting the necessary formalities completed.

December 16, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No