

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21961 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 21.08.2019**

Ramesh Kumar Chopra

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. M. S. Uppal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is that the impugned seniority list dated 26.04.2019 (Annexure P-8) whereby private respondents, who are junior to him, have been placed above him. Lead contention of learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter-alia*, is that the private respondents have been ostensibly given the benefit of length of service instead of considering the inter se seniority in the feeder cadre and the same is in violation of law enunciated by Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as "*Ajit Singh Janjua v. State of Punjab*" 1996 AIR (SC) 1189.

2. In the premise, the petitioner also caused legal notice dated 24.06.2019 (Annexure P-10), which has not been adverted to by the respondents till date. Hence the petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service of copy of the petition, Ms.Ambika Bedi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, appears on behalf of respondents.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the legal notice dated 24.06.2019 (Annexure P-10) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in this petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

AUGUST 21, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No