

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 22648 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.04.2019**

Azad Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

National Highway Authority of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. R.S. Panghal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to efflux of time, the issue raised in this Public Interest Litigation for adjudication has lost its efficacy. He, however, further submits that another issue with respect to the legality and validity of establishing and running a toll plaza in an area which falls within the Municipal limits has also been raked up.

From a perusal of the record, we find that this issue has been raised only in the replication filed by the petitioner to the written statement

CWP No. 22648 of 2017 (O&M)

filed by the respondents. Since the main controversy raised in this petition has lost its efficacy, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner himself, we dispose of this petition as having been rendered infructuous, leaving it open to the petitioner to raise the issue with respect to the legality and validity of establishing and running a toll plaza in the area which falls under Municipal limits, by way of a fresh petition.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

April 03, 2019

AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO