

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22445 of 2017
Date of Decision : 22.04.2019.

Narender Kumar and others
...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the institution of the writ petition challenging the advertisement, the respondents have cancelled the impugned advertisement and issued afresh one and in view thereof, the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

22.04.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No