

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-22368-2017
Date of Decision: 05th March, 2019

Kamaljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Divisional Canal Officer & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the State has referred to paras 4 and 5 of the preliminary submissions as mentioned in the reply to contend that the *mandamus* for implementation of the order which has been sought, stands duly complied with.

In the light of the above and keeping in view the fact that no replication to the written statement of the respondents has been filed by the petitioner nor is the counsel for the petitioner present, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

05th March, 2019
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No