

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26868-2015

Date of Decision: April 11, 2019

Anil Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. R.K.Girdhar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhaypal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

ARUN MONGA, J

The main ground of the denial of payment to the petitioner qua the work executed by him is that before the work could be completed by the petitioner, the contract was rescinded.

Per contra, learned counsel the petitioner submits that despite causing of legal notice dated 01.12.2015 (Annexure P3) that the work has been completed, no response thereto was ever received. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a speaking order is passed by the respondent-Council specifying as to which work has not completed by the petitioner prior to rescinding of the contract.

In the premise, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent-Council to pass a speaking order keeping in view the legal notice dated 01.12.2015 (Annexure P-3) caused by the petitioner as also the averments in the present writ petition by treating the same as a supplementary representation.

Let needful be done within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

April 11, 2019

smriti

(ARUN MONGA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No