

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**[1] CM No.7246 of 2019 in/and
CWP No.25815 of 2016 (O&M)**

Date of Decision : 08.05.2019

HC RAVINDER SINGHPETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

[2] CWP No.10253 of 2017

RAJESH KUMAR AND ANOTHERPETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

[3] CWP No.17671 of 2015

VED PALPETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWP Nos.25815 of 2016 & CWP No.10253 of 2017.

Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP No.17671 of 2015.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

**[1] Learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana states that the
relief claimed in CWP No.25815 of 2016, CWP No.10253 of 2017 and CWP
No.17671 of 2015 having been granted to the petitioner(s) by the order of the**

DGP, repatriating the petitioner(s) from the District Police to the HAP, the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that in view of the aforementioned position, no further orders are required to be passed and the writ petitions may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

[3] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, writ petitions are ***disposed of*** as having become infructuous.

[4] In view of the writ petitions having become infructuous, all pending applications are also disposed of in same terms.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

May 08, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No