

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CWP No. 20504 of 2018 (O & M)

Date of decision : 26.8.2019

Pooja Verma

.....Petitioner

v.

Educational Tribunal-cum-District Judge, Yamuna Nagar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.7

AMIT RAWAL, J.(ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner expressed in the writ petition is to quash the order dated 1.8.2018 passed by the Educational Tribunal, whereby the prayer for interim stay for reinstatement during the pendency of the appeal has not been considered.

Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate, informs the Court that vide order dated 3.10.2018, this Court has directed the petitioner to continue to work but alleging non-compliance by the respondents, a COCP No. 3446 of 2018 was filed and in pursuance to that the petitioner has been taken back in service.

Keeping in view the aforesaid circumstances, I am of the view that the grievance of the petitioner at this moment no longer subsists as the main appeal against the order of termination is still pending adjudication before the Tribunal.

Accordingly, I dispose of this writ petition with a direction to

respondent No.1 to decide the appeal of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of 4 months and till then interim order dated 3.10.2018 shall continue.

CM No. 16034 of 2018 filed by Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate, to implead the applicant as respondent No.7 in this writ petition is rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

26.8.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned:	Yes/No
Reportable :	Yes/No