

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

103

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.20492 OF 2018
DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

Sunder Singh Tanwar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State Information Commissioner, Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State-respondent Nos.2 and 4.

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that as per the information sought for by the petitioner in his application dated 09.04.2015, he has received the requisite information. He, however, states that penalty may be imposed upon the respondents for non-supply of information in time.

This Court is not inclined to accept the request of counsel for the petitioner in the light of the facts and circumstances of the present case.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

September 24, 2019
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No