

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1391-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 13.09.2019

Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula

...Appellant

Versus

Brij Pal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for the appellant.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

CM-3058-LPA-2019 & CM-3057-LPA-2019

Delay of 20 days in filing and 139 days in re-filing
the appeal stands condoned for the reasons mentioned in the
applications and the same stand disposed of.

LPA-1391-2019

This intra-Court appeal under clause-X of the Letters
Patent is directed against the judgment and order
dated 14.12.2018 passed by the learned single Judge.

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we
are of the considered opinion that the issue raised in this
appeal is squarely covered by the judgment and order
dated 11.05.2018 passed by a learned single Judge of this Court
in CWP No.4920 of 2018 (*Sandeep vs. State of Haryana and
others*). The view taken therein by the learned single Judge was
also affirmed by a Division Bench of this Court in the case of
Kuldeep Singh vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission,
LPA No.519 of 2018, decided on 14.02.2019. This factual

position could never be disputed nor is being disputed by the learned Additional Advocate General. It is also undisputed that the judgment rendered by the learned single Judge in the case of *Sandeep vs. State of Haryana and others (supra)* attained finality as the same was never challenged.

Since LPA No.519 of 2018 and the present LPA arise out of the same advertisement and selection process, we see no reason to take a view different than the one taken while deciding LPA No.519 of 2018.

In view of above facts and discussion, LPA stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

13.09.2019
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No