

(109) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4702 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.09.2019

Madan Lal & Ors.

.... Petitioners

Versus

Ram Kumar and another

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sidharath Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Anupama Arigala, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Sidharath Goyal, Advocate states that the impugned order has been passed contrary to the record, besides, the observations that the petitioners/plaintiffs had concealed material facts by not filing the correct site plan and even the aks-shijra filed was incomplete, are also contrary to the record.

[2] After arguing for some time, learned Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Sidharath Goyal, Advocate prays for permission to withdraw the revision petition with liberty to file an appropriate application before the Court below in accordance with law.

[3] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.09.2019

amit

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |