

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2214 of 2017
Date of decision: - 21.08.2019**

Jagir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that the only grievance which survives in this writ petition is for counting of daily wage service for the grant of ACP and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***State of Punjab Vs. Gurdeep Kumar Uppal, 2001(4) SCT 297***, the ad-hoc service cannot be counted for the grant of ACP and, therefore, petitioner does not press this writ petition and he prays that he be allowed to withdraw the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

August 21, 2019
kanchan

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No