

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 19.09.2019****CWP No.25592 of 2016**

Sanjay Kumar & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

When this matter came up for hearing on 16.09.2019, this Court passed the following order:

“Medical evidence and the Magisterial inquiry suggest that this is not a case of custodial death by torture. It is more likely a result of chronic medical sedative drug addiction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner must answer this before any relief can come to the family of the deceased under trial.

List on 19.09.2019 to attempt final disposal.

Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate would inform Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate to appear in this case. If he does not turn up on the adjourned date, it will be presumed that he had nothing to say and the petition would be dismissed.”

Today, no one appears for the petitioners nor a pass over is sought. I find no ground to adjourn the case. The petitioners were asked to satisfy this Court on the query put in the interim order dated 16.09.2019 reproduced above, but they failed to put appearance despite the specific

direction that if he (Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate) does not turn up on the adjourned date, it will be presumed that he had nothing to say and the petition would be dismissed. Thus, this court assumes that he has nothing to say and that it is not a case of custodial death or torture.

In view thereof, the instant petition stands dismissed.

19.09.2019

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No