

In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-32352-2019
Date of Decision: August 22, 2019

Hirdesh Patel

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sankalp, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though the petitioner is shown to have remained in custody for about five months, with the trial still at a nascent stage, however, learned State counsel submits that upon direction of the trial court, a test identification parade was carried out, in which the complainant is stated to have identified the petitioner.

That being so, without making any comment on the merits of the case and the contention raised on the either side, for or against the petitioner, I do not find any ground to entertain this petition, at this stage.

Dismissed.

August 22, 2019
vkd

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No