

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(227)

CWP-25508-2016

Date of Decision: January 30, 2019

Vijay Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate, for
Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel(s) for the parties agree that the retiral benefits for which the petitioner was entitled for after his retirement have already been released.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the said amount has been released but the same was released after inordinate delay for which the petitioner was not responsible and therefore, the petitioner is also entitled for the interest on the said delayed release of the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of interest in view of the law laid down by the full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner in respect of the interest.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for the grant of the interest in view of the settled principle of law noticed above. Let the order be passed within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to him within one month thereafter.

January 30, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No