

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2782 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.09.2019**

Jagdish Chand

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is being punished on the basis of enquiry report, which according to the petitioner, is not based upon any evidence and all the consequential orders of punishment and rejection of appeal are based upon the enquiry report, which are also required to be set aside. On being pointed out that enquiry report is not under challenge, learned counsel for the petitioner states that as the said enquiry report is not under challenge, he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars after challenging the said enquiry report.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

10.09.2019

JUDGE

aarti

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No