

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20052 of 2018
Date of Decision : 02.05.2019**

Avtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rahul Rana, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narinder S. Lubana, Advocate for
Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is against the order dated 24.04.2018 (Annexure P-3) by which the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service has been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the case of the petitioner was rejected, the respondents vide their Resolutions dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018 have amended the eligibility conditions for the grant of 23 years promotional increment and, therefore, in view of those eligibility conditions, the case of the petitioner is squarely covered for the grant of 23 years promotional increment. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that on the basis of the Resolutions passed by the respondent-

Corporation dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018, a bunch of writ petitions bearing CWP No.1013 of 2017 and other connected cases, titled as ***Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another***, decided on 29.11.2018 giving directions to the respondents to consider the case of the similarly situated personnel as per the said amendment/Resolutions, which have been carried out by the respondent-Corporation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner will be satisfied in case the case of the petitioner is again considered by the respondents as per the eligibility conditions in view of the Resolutions dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018 by ignoring the rejection of the case of the petitioner vide order dated 24.04.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that in view of the amended eligibility provisions as amended by the Resolutions dated 21.08.2018 and 01.10.2018, the case of the petitioner will be considered afresh ignoring the earlier rejection order dated 24.04.2018.

Learned counsel for the parties also request that they will be satisfied in case the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of the direction given by this Court while deciding a bunch of writ petitions bearing CWP No.1013 of 2017 and other connected cases, titled as ***Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another***, decided on 29.11.2018.

Keeping in view the above request, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the direction given by this Court in CWP No. 1013 of 2017 and other connected cases, titled as ***Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another***, decided on 29.11.2018.

However, it is made clear that while re-considering the case of

the petitioner, order dated 24.04.2018 (Annexure P-3) rejecting the case of the petitioner for the grant of the said benefit shall be ignored.

Writ petition stands disposed of in above terms.

May 02, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No