

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32053 of 2019**

**Date of decision: October 15, 2019**

Kuldeep Singh @ Amrik Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.175 dated 05.04.2019, under Sections 379-A/177 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

Status report by way of affidavit of Dharambir Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ, Fatehabad filed by learned State counsel, today in Court, is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

On 29.08.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there are other criminal cases against the petitioner, but he already stands acquitted.*

*Learned State counsel seeks some time to verify the*

*same.*

*Adjourned to 15.10.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranjeet Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 29.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 29.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 15, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No