

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CWP No. 26044 of 2015

Date of Decision : 04.12.2019

Ram Sarup

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Longia, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

An additional affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 3 has been filed in Court today, wherein, it has been stated by the respondents that there was a charge-sheet, issued to the petitioner on 26.09.2016 on account of shortage of oil and missing part of the damaged transformers and the charges have been proved against the petitioner and an order has already been passed on 07.04.2017, wherein, it has been ordered that a sum of ₹48,034/- be recovered from the petitioner. The said order is not under challenge in the present writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that as the said order has been passed during the pendency of the writ petition, the present writ petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 07.04.2017 by which recovery has been imposed upon the petitioner, in case he is aggrieved against the said order in any manner.

Ordered accordingly.

December 04, 2019

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No