

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32062-2019**

**Date of Decision: 28.08.2019**

**Sanjay Bhardwaj and another**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the State has pointed to the application annexed by the petitioner, along with this petition, as Annexure P-3, dated 11.07.2019, stating therein that the signatories to the application have stated that they are working in the office in the premises in question and that the officials of the Electricity Department came and misbehaved with them and took a video recording of them, also.

The aforesaid application [addressed to the SHO, Police Station City, Gurugram), would seem to bely the contention of learned counsel that it was family members of petitioner no. 2 who were doing a job of cleaning the premises, for it to be taken on rent for the purpose of any business.

Keeping in view the above, without making any comment on the actual involvement of the petitioners in the occurrence, or otherwise, which would be subject matter of investigation; but looking at the nature of allegations made, further seeing the contradictions in the statements made by the accused, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently, dismissed.

**August 28, 2019**

nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.