

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-34539-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 15.10.2019

SANJAY @ ANDY

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajnikant Upadhyay, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.559 dated 15.10.2017, under Sections 395, 397, 412, 120-B, 379-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that a gold chain, mobile phone and cash of Rs.10,000/- was snatched from the complainant. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and he is in custody for almost 6 months since his arrest in April 2019.

Learned State counsel states that although investigation has been completed but charges are yet to be framed.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 6 months,

he is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is

likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.10.2019.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No