

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2680 of 2019

Date of decision: 13.11.2019

Satwinder Singh and another

.. Petitioners

v.

Sandeep Hans

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. J. S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The writ petition was filed by the petitioner raising a grievance that street and drains constructed, as detailed in the writ petition, were without any scheme, administrative approval and any technical advice.

On 16.1.2019, the writ petition was disposed of with a direction to Deputy Commissioner, Moga to take a final decision in the matter and in case the grievance raised is accepted, then needful was to be done thereafter. It was further directed that in case the authority concluded that the claim is not admissible, a reasoned order would be passed.

Learned counsel for the State submits that decision has been taken on the representation and order communicated to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner candidly admits that said order has been challenged by filing a writ petition, however, he states that

there is delay in compliance of the order.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the delay occurred as there were certain procedural issues to be dealt with and moreover the Deputy Commissioner was on election duty, he tenders an unconditional apology, which is accepted.

The contempt petition stands disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

13.11.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No