

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-31818-2019

Date of Decision:30.08.2019

Vikas Sood

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Ms. Saloni Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate,
for the complainant-respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this 2nd petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.007 dated 28.02.2019 under Section 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Mohali.

The first petition bearing CRM-M-29665-2019 having been dismissed as withdrawn on 16.07.2019 with the following order:-

“Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate has appeared and filed power of attorney on behalf of complainant. Same is taken on record.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition.

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the petitioner is not financially well off, therefore, he is not able to comply with the order dated 26.07.2019, whereby the petitioner was directed to pay a sum of Rs.25,000/- as litigation expenses to respondent No.2-Smt. Gurpreet Kaur, who happens to be wife of the petitioner.

The order dated 26.07.2019 passed by this Court is reproduced as under:-

“Complainant Smt. Gurpreet Kaur, who is present in person before this Court, has submitted that she has not been impleaded as a party in the instant petition and prays that she be impleaded as party-respondent.

Heard. The prayer is allowed. Complainant Gurpreet Kaur, daughter of Late Sh. Gurdev Singh, resident of Flat No.D-203, Aero Home Gazipur Road, Zirakpur is impleaded as party-respondent No.2.

Notice of motion for 07.08.2019.

However, the petitioner is directed to pay Rs.25,000/-, as litigation expenses to respondent No.2-Smt. Gurpreet Kaur by way of bank draft in the name of respondent No.2 on her appearance before this court.”

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the petitioner cannot pay the aforesaid amount, she be permitted to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

August 30, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No