

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19320-2018

Date of Decision: 07.11.2019

Dalip Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, Advocate
for the respondents.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J.(ORAL)

By filing the present petition, the petitioner seeks direction to respondent No.2 to issue passport to him in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the application of the petitioner in view of Section 6(2)(e) of the Passports Act, 1967.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he is not averse to the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of above, respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the application of the petitioner taking into account the applicability of Section 6(2)(e) of the Passport Act, 1967 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the

competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

Disposed of.

07.11.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No