

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-20542-2019

Date of Decision: July 26, 2019

Gurdev Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Petitioner in person.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is for the grant of pensionary benefits in respect of the service rendered by her late husband Sh. Surjit Singh, whose services were terminated w.e.f. 22.12.2007 on the ground that the said Sh. Surjit Singh was convicted in an FIR No.42 dated 28.08.2000 by the competent Court of law. Said conviction has been set aside and late husband of the petitioner has been acquitted by this Court vide judgment dated 07.02.2019 (Annexure P-4) and therefore, the order terminating the service of the late husband of the petitioner, is liable to be withdrawn. Further prayer of the petitioner is that keeping in view the fact that the husband of the petitioner unfortunately died on 27.05.2008, petitioner be given the benefit of service which late Sh. Surjit Singh had rendered in respect of pension and other pensionary benefits.

From the pleadings, it transpires that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 22.02.2019 (Annexure P-5) to the respondents and the interest of justice will be served, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 22.02.2019 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 22.02.2019 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 26, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No