

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31773-2019

Date of Decision: 17.08.2019

Hardev Singh

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Hardev Singh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in cross case registered vide DDR No.34 dated 31.12.2018 under FIR No.80 dated 31.12.2018, registered under Sections 323, 324, 149 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code added later on to the cross case/DDR at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

First petition for grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been dismissed in cross version case by this Court on merits while passing detailed order dated 28.03.2019. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not shown changed circumstances except that datar has been handed over by the son of the petitioner to the police.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case that the petitioner was named in the cross-version. He was armed with deadly weapon (datar) and he caused grievous injury, which falls under

Section 326 IPC, I do not find any ground to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail, otherwise also, giving of the weapon by the son of the petitioner to the police as alleged cannot be held as changed circumstances. As in the earlier bail application, it has been held that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where he is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

17.08.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No