

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203)

CRM-M-31655-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Varsha Sood and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. S. S. Goripuria, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Surinder Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though pursuant to the order of this Court dated 22.08.2019, the petitioners are stated to have joined investigation, however, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that statements of 65 persons have been recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C., to the effect that it was the petitioners Varsha Sood and Sunita alias Rano, who had got the forms filled in from them in the year 2016, on the pretext of pension to be granted by the State, and had taken different amounts from each person, without any such pension having been granted.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, for or against the petitioners, I see no reason to continue further with this petition.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed, with the interim order vacated.

However, nothing stated herein above would be taken to be an

observation on the merits of the case, which would be gone into by the investigating agency and the trial court (if it comes to that stage), on the basis of the evidence led before it.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

18.09.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No