

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19246-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:09.01.2019

Rajender Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has already prematurely released. Therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not admit the statement given by State counsel. However, he states that despite the order passed by this Court in the Contempt Petition, case of the petitioner was considered with delay with the result that he had to remain three years in jail over and above the period of 20 years which he was required to undergo under the policy. He wants to claim compensation .

Learned counsel for the petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate proceedings if so advised for compensation. However, so far this petition is concerned, it has been rendered infructuous and is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

09.01.2019

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

No

Whether Reportable:

No