

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(286)

CWP-18908-2018

Date of Decision: March 06, 2019

Narain Dass Juneja

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the family pension, for which the petitioner is entitled for on the basis of last pay drawn including the dearness allowance should be paid to the petitioner after the death of his wife as provided under the Family Pension Scheme, 1964.

Notice of motion was issued on 01.08.2018.

No reply has been filed by the respondents so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to pass appropriate orders on the legal notice dated 04.06.2018 (Annexure P-7) served by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection with regard to the grant of prayer as being made by counsel for the petitioner for deciding the legal notice in a time bound manner.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 04.06.2018 (Annexure P-7), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 04.06.2018 (Annexure P-7) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

March 06, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No