

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20646 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Rajni Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Harmanjit Singh Jugait, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

Writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. the S.H.O., Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur, Punjab that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court for marriage of the parties.

Petition stands disposed of.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	No
Whether reportable	No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.24205 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Rina Devi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

U.T.Administration, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Vaibhav Goel, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

CM-13273-CWP-2019

In view of the averments made in the application duly supported by an affidavit and coupled with the fact that notice of motion as yet had not been issued in the main writ petition, prayer is allowed.

Order dated 03.09.2019 dismissing the main writ petition for non-prosecution is recalled.

Writ petition is restored to its original number and is taken up on board today itself.

Application disposed of.

CWP No.24205 of 2019

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

Writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. the S.H.O., Police Station Sarangpur, U.T.Chandigarh that in

case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court for marriage of the parties.

Petition stands disposed of.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No

CWP-20969-2019

Sabid and anr. v. State of Haryana and ors.

Present: Mr.Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

Notice of motion confined at this stage to respondents
No.1 to 3, returnable for 03.10.2019.

Mr.Siddharth Sanwaria, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and waives
service.

Counsel for the petitioners undertakes that the petitioners,
who are otherwise present in Court, will appear before respondent
No.3 i.e. the S.H.O., Police Station Punhana, District Nuh (Mewat) on
13.09.2019 at 11.00 a.m. for recording of their statements.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-15047-2019

Punjab State Milk Supplier Co-op. Society v. State of Punjab and ors.

Present: Mr.M.S.Dua, Advocate for
Mr.Vishneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

On request, adjourned to 04.12.2019.

11.09.2019

dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-12777-2019

Bajaj Allianz General Insurance Co.Ltd. v. Shyam Lal and ors.

Present: Mr.Rajesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

Notice of motion confined to respondent No.2 at this
stage, returnable for 20.01.2020.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-10904-2019

Santokh Singh Sahwney and ors. v. Union of India and ors.

Present: Mr.G.P.S.Bal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Rajneesh Kumar Shelly, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

...

Complete copy of the writ paper-book has been handed
over to Mr.Siddharth Sanwaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

He is requested to complete the instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 04.11.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-13292-2019

Jaswinder Singh @ Jarnail Singh v. District Magistrate and ors.

Present: Mr.Tarun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.R.S.Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

In view of the written request circulated by the petitioner,
adjourned to 04.12.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-10066-2019

Sakatar Singh v. Pb. State Power Corpn. Ltd. and ors.

Present: Mr.Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

Counsel adverts to document placed on record at Annexure P-1(Colly) to assert that on 08.09.2017 the Sub Divisional Officer/Incharge, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Jandiala Guru, had sanctioned in favour of the petitioner a 10 K.V.A.Transformer, 60 Mtr.11 K.V.Link line as the existing transformer was already overloaded.

The short grievance raised in the petition is that in spite of the requisite charges having already been deposited, the sanctioned 10 K.V.A. transformer has yet not been installed.

Notice of motion, returnable for 18.11.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-19356-2019

Jit Kaur v. Baljit Singh and ors.

Present: Mr.R.K.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

Notice of motion, returnable for 10.12.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19456 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Ambadi Enterprises Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel makes a statement at the bar that he has
instructions from the petitioner not to pursue the instant writ petition.

As per statement suffered, writ petition is disposed of as not
pressed.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18075 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Jaswinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Bus Stand Management Company
Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel makes a statement at the bar that he has
instructions from the petitioner not to pursue the instant writ petition.

As per statement suffered, writ petition is disposed of as not
pressed.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15438 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Suman

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel at the very outset makes a statement at the bar that
he has instructions from the petitioner not to pursue the instant writ
petition.

As per statement suffered, writ petition is disposed of as not
pressed.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

CWP-6089-2019

Deepak Sharma Advocate v. District Judge, Rohtak and ors.

Present: Mr.N.D.Gaur, Advocate and
Mr.Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

Petitioner claims himself to be a practising Advocate and a
Member of the District Bar Association, Rohtak.

It has been asserted in the writ petition that over a period
of time a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- had been deposited with the District
Bar Association for allotment of a chamber.

Short grievance raised in the petition is that neither has a
chamber been allotted nor the amount of Rs.3,50,000/- refunded to
him.

Notice of motion, returnable for 16.12.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-6456-2019 (O&M)

Dr.Surinder Singh Joshi, Advocate v. State of Punjab and ors.

Present: Petitioner in person.

...

CM-4561-CWP-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for. Exemption is granted.

CM-4565-CWP-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The accompanying documents at Annexures P-10 to P-20
are taken on record.

CWP-6456-2019

List for consideration on 18.11.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-16374-2019 (O&M)

Maharishi Dayanand University v. Permanent Lok Adalat and ors.

Present: Mr.Parth Goyal, Advocate for
Mr.Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

CM-10340-CWP-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The accompanying reply that had been filed on behalf of
the petitioner-University before the Permanent Lok Adalat is taken on
record as Annexure P-9.

CWP-16374-2019

Request for pass over has been made.

The same would not be feasible in view of the heavy
cause list.

Adjourned to 13.11.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

CWP-18082-2019 (O&M)

New Guru Kanshi Tpt Company v. State of Punjab and ors.

Present: Mr.Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

CM-13406-CWP-2019

Exemption as prayed for is granted.

Application disposed of.

CM-13407-CWP-2019

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The accompanying letter dated 15.05.2015 issued by the
office of the General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Jagraon is taken on
record as Annexure P-4.

CWP-18082-2019

List for consideration on 14.11.2019.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20599 of 2019
Date of Decision : 11.09.2019**

Rajwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present : Mr.Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel submits that the petitioner was physically attacked and assaulted by the private respondents on 15.04.2019 and suffered injuries. To substantiate such assertion counsel has even referred to the M.L.R.

Short grievance raised in the petition is that in spite of the matter having been reported to the police authorities, no action is being taken.

In view of the above and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the writ petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter against the backdrop of a representation dated 20.04.2019 (Annexure P-1) and thereafter take steps as he may deem fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

11.09.2019
dss

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20657 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.09.2019

Jarnail Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Agriculture Marketing Board
and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

...

Present : Mr.Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner had filed the instant petition seeking a
Mandamus for direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to allow him to
carry out his contractual obligations pursuant to work order dated
22.04.2019 (Annexure P-1).

Counsel during the course of hearing today makes a statement
that the contract itself has since been cancelled.

He accordingly prays for withdrawal of the writ petition.

Disposed of as not pressed.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20676 of 2019
Date of Decision : 11.09.2019**

Balbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Deputy Commissioner-cum-District
Magistrate and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present : Mr.R.S.Budhwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Since advance copy of the writ paper-book had already been served, learned State counsel has filed in Court today a response by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (Yamuna Nagar) along with Annexure R-2. The same is taken on record and a copy has been furnished to the counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner, who is a senior citizen, is essentially aggrieved of the non-implementation of an order dated 31.05.2018 (Annexure P-2) that had been issued by the District Magistrate under the provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 directing eviction of respondents No.5 and 6 herein. Order of the District Magistrate stands affirmed upto the High Court on account of dismissal of CWP No.16946 of 2018 on 11.12.2018 that had been preferred by the private respondents herein.

It may be noticed that respondents No.5 and 6 are the son and daughter-in-law, respectively of the petitioner.

In the reply that has been filed in Court today a stand has been taken that on 12.07.2019 steps had been taken to get delivered possession of the house in question to the petitioner but respondents No.5 and 6 were not present. There were certain articles lying in the house but the petitioner was insisting on vacant possession.

Learned State counsel would submit that it is on account of such predicament that possession of the house has not been got delivered to the petitioner.

In the considered view of this Court there ought to have been no impediment in getting the order of the District Magistrate dated 31.05.2018 implemented. Even if there were certain articles belonging to respondents No.5 and 6, the Duty Magistrate concerned could have prepared an inventory of the articles in the presence of other officials as also respectables of the area and then kept such articles in safe custody. If such a course of action had been followed, the petitioner, who is in twilight of his life, could have been delivered possession of the house and notice could have been issued to respondents No.5 and 6 to have taken possession of their articles as also to pay the necessary cost.

At this stage, learned State counsel assures the Court that necessary and due corrective action would be taken expeditiously and vacant possession of the premises would be got delivered to the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

11.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No