

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31667 of 2019

Date of Decision: 14.11.2019

Sonia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ajay Inder Singh Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for issuance of directions to respondents/State to order an independent
probe regarding veracity of FIR No. 0073 dated 07.03.2019 (P-1)
under Sections 195, 294 and 312 of the Indian Penal Code, registered
at Police Station Narnaud, District Hansi.

In response to the notice of motion issued by this Court,
reply by way of affidavit of Vinod Shankar, Deputy Superintendent of
Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal was filed on behalf of respondents
No. 1 to 4/State. The same is taken on record. Registry to tag the same
at appropriate place.

Perusal of paragraph No. 6 of preliminary submissions of
reply reveals that in usual course investigation was conducted and the
petitioner has been found to be innocent. However, challan against the

father of the petitioner namely, Pawan Kumar has been filed and the case is pending before learned trial Court.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in the matter and the same is disposed off as such.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

November 14, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no