

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-31488-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 05.09.2019

ABHISHEK VERMA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.960 dated 15.11.2017, under Sections 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 201 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station DLF, Sector 29, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR against the petitioner are that the petitioner had induced the complainant to invest money in the insurance company. It is alleged that instead of investing money in the insurance company, money was transferred in the accounts of the 6 accused. He, however, contends that only a sum of Rs.4,30,000/- was transferred in the account of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is ready to return that amount without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The petitioner is in custody for over 4 months. The petitioner is 29 years of age and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Vivek Kumar, states that the charges are yet to be framed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought a demand draft for a sum of Rs.4,30,000/- in the name of the complainant which has been handed over to the complainant, who is present in Court. The complainant has been identified by ASI Vivek Kumar.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner having returned the money to the complainant, he is in custody for over 4 months and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The gesture of returning money would not cause any prejudice to the case of the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

05.09.2019

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No