

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5332 of 2019

Date of decision: 02.09.2019

Suresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttari Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kurukshetra, whereby application filed by the respondents for withdrawing the written statement, has been allowed and they (respondents) have been permitted to file additional written statement.

Plaintiff-petitioner has filed a suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants-respondents from illegal recovery of bills with respect to the electricity connection in the Rice Mill. A perusal of the impugned order dated 24.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) shows that the written statement, which was filed on 24.05.2016, was with respect to 16 paragraphs. However, in the suit filed by plaintiff-petitioner, there were only 14 paragraphs. Due to inadvertence, a wrong written statement had been filed in the suit filed by present petitioner. Due to the above ambiguity,

permission has been granted to the defendants to file an additional written

statement.

Accordingly, having examined the impugned order, no illegality, much less perversity, has been found therein warranting interference by this Court.

No merits.

Dismissed.

02.09.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No