

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(120)

CWP-20183-2019

Date of Decision: July 24, 2019

Vijay Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner had undertaken treatment after his retirement for his kidney ailment as well as for pacemaker in the heart and the said treatment was undertaken from 01.11.2018 till 06.11.2018 and the petitioner spent Rs.4,32,597/- on the said treatment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that after the treatment, petitioner submitted the bills to the authorities for the reimbursement of the same as the petitioner is entitled for the same under the rules.

The prayer, which is being made by the petitioner is that though, no valid justification has been given by the respondents for not reimbursing the medical bills but the full payment for which petitioner is entitled on account of the treatment which the petitioner has undertaken and spent a sum of Rs.4,32,597/- has not been fully reimbursed. Prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to reimburse the amount which the petitioner has spent on his treatment, the details of which have been mentioned above.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 03.05.2019 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 03.05.2019 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 03.05.2019 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

July 24, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No