

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31809-2019

Date of Decision 10.09.2019

Sajan Kumar @ Sajan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Rajesh Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Bhupinder Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Munish Puri, Advocate for the complainant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Petitioner prays for regular bail in FIR No.188 dated 08.11.2018 registered under Sections 302, 307, 323, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station, Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not alleged to be armed and he is not attributed to have caused any injury. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.11.2018. He also submits that investigations are complete and challan has been presented.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the offence was committed due to an earlier FIR No.53 dated 17.10.2018.

On a pointed question, learned counsel for the respondent admits that petitioner herein is not an accused in the aforesaid case. However, he submits that in the aforesaid case, Bunty is one of the accused, who is related to the petitioner.

Be that as it may, the petitioner is in custody since 12.11.2018. There are total 37 witnesses and evidence of not even one witness has been examined so far.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the aforesaid facts as also conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, it is considered appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

10.09.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No