

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2611 of 2019
Date of decision: 10.9.2019

Satbir Singh Malik

.. Petitioner

v.

Sh. S. N. Roy and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 5.9.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 17145 of 2015. The directions were issued to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal on merits after hearing the objections to the enquiry report. The needful was to be done within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Learned counsel for the State submits that earlier the Appellate Authority had heard objections on the enquiry report but before he could sign the order, he sought premature retirement and subsequently passed away. He further submits that the Appellate Authority shall now grant a fresh hearing with regard to objections to the enquiry report to the petitioner and the needful would be done within six weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case the statement made today in court is adhered to, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, it is made clear that in case the statement made today in court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case he is forced to do so, costs may be imposed which shall be recovered from the defaulting official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

10.9.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No