

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR 1775 of 2019
Date of Decision: October 03, 2019**

Mohd. Akhtar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab and another		 Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. G.M. Umair, Advocate
for the petitioner.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition is outcome of an order dated 24.05.2019 of the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, whereby, application of the revisionist, who was accused before the trial Court for enabling him to lead additional evidence by resorting to provisions of Section 311 Cr.P.C. stood dismissed.

Heard.

Record perused.

It is there that the victim of the crime of gang rape had been examined by the prosecution as PW4 in the present trial. The sole claim of the aggrievment is that the petitioner

wants to confront the victim regarding her earlier statement made against the co-accused in a separate trial, in which, the co-accused of the petitioner were acquitted. The victim in her testimony had duly identified the accused and claimed in her statement that it was none but the present petitioner, who had defiled her. The accused/petitioner had cross-examined the victim and, therefore, the trial Court has held that there was no legal necessity of acceding to the prayer of the petitioner. There is nothing substantial to reflect that in the cross-examination of this witness material questions have been left out to assist the Court in judicious adjudication of the case. There being no illegality or perversity necessitating intervention by this Court.

The petition stands dismissed in *limine*.

October 03, 2019
amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No