

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4607 of 2019

Date of Decision : 03.12.2019

Jai Narain and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Bansi Lal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

After hearing both sides, the revision petition is disposed off by affirming the impugned order vide which, the secondary evidence of the disputed document of compromise dated 10.07.2013 was permitted to be led into evidence in the background of the fact that appropriate pleadings regarding its existence and destruction allegedly by the petitioner-defendant had been made in the original plaint itself. Of course, the main objection raised on behalf of the present petitioner was that in case the document is found to bear the signatures of the present petitioner No.4, namely, Dharambir, the same has been put there by way of super-imposition, which could have been otherwise verified, if, the original had been missing.

2. In such circumstances, it is directed that before passing any final judgment in case, the Ld. Court below shall refer the disputed

document to the FSL, Madhuban for its opinion, as to whether, the
disputed signatures on the same can possibly have been super-imposed or
not?

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

December 03, 2019

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No