

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23685 of 2016

Date of decision: -08.11.2019

Gurmail Singh Tiwana

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanyam Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Harish Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. .

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been granted benefit of ACP scheme after rendering 4-9-14 years of service and claim of the petitioner in this regard has wrongly been declined by the respondents vide letters dated 08.01.2014 (Annexure P/2) and 20.01.2015 (Annexure P/5).

During the pendency of the present writ petition, the respondents have considered the case of the petitioner and found that as the petitioner had never opted for ACP scheme after rendering 4-9-14 years of service, therefore case of the petitioner has been considered for the grant of proficiency step up after rendering 8-16-24-32 years of service but now benefit has been granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.10.2019 and pay of the petitioner has also been refixed by the said order. Copy of the said order has been attached as Annexure R-1 with the affidavit filed on behalf of respondents in the Court today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though, the grievance of the petitioner is primarily redressed vide order dated 29.10.2019 but a direction needs to be issued to the respondents to grant consequential benefits of order dated 29.10.2019 to the petitioner in a time bound manner. He further states that as the benefits were to be extended to the petitioner in the year 1997 and 2005, thus, the petitioner is entitled for interest as well and, therefore, liberty be granted to him to file an appropriate representation with the respondents in this regard.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that benefits accruing to the petitioner in respect of order dated 29.10.2019 will be released to him within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case any representation is filed by the petitioner seeking interest, the same will be considered, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case coupled with law settled on the said issue an appropriate order will be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of said representation.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not wish to press the present writ petition any further and same may be disposed of as such

Present petition stands disposed of as having been not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

08.11.2019

aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |