

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-22515-2019

Date of Decision: September 02, 2019

Anand Parkash

.. Petitioner

Versus

**Haryana State Electronics Development Corporation Ltd and anr
.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the medical bills in respect of the treatment undertaken by the mother of the petitioner for the chronic disease are not been reimbursed by the respondents without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that as the instructions dated 08.01.2008 (Annexure P-4) issued by the State of Haryana, are applicable upon respondent No.1, therefore, petitioner is entitled for the reimbursement of the amount, which has been incurred by him, details of which has been given in Annexure P-1, amounting to Rs.16,057/- and Annexure P-3-A, amounting to Rs.10,131/-, 10,421/-, 25791/- and 34,592/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation on 05.09.2018 (Annexure P-3) with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 05.09.2018 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 05.09.2018 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 02, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No