

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 20025-2017

Date of decision : 10.04.2019

Rajesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and anr

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Anuj Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to allow them to participate in the selection process, pursuant to advertisement (P-2).

On 05.09.2017, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been treated to be ineligible for appointment as Shift Attendants (Electronics) on the ground that the two years ITI Certificate that they possessed is in the trade of “Mechanic Consumer Electronics” (MCE) and not in “Electronics”.

He further refers to a reply under the RTI Act, addressed to petitioner No. 1, stating that neither the Directorate of Skill Development and Industrial Training Haryana, nor the DGT, Government of India, run any course by the name of 'Electronic Trade.'

He further submits that some other persons with the trade of

'Electronic Mechanics' have been interviewed by the respondent-Commission.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

A copy of the petition be handed over to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 07.10.2017.

In the meanwhile the petitioners be provisionally interviewed, subject to further orders in this petition.

A copy of this order be given to both learned counsel under the signatures of the Special Secretary of this Court.”

Learned State counsel has produced the result of the petitioners in a sealed cover, which has been opened by the Reader of this Court. As per result, all the petitioners have secured less marks than the last candidate in their respective category. The details of the same is mentioned below:-

<i>Sr.No.</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>Marks secured by the petitioner</i>	<i>Marks of Last Candidate</i>	<i>Marks of Last candidate in Waiting list</i>
1	Rajender Kumar	SC	78 (68 in written exam and 10 in viva voice)	89	87
2	Rajesh Kumar	SC	76 (64 in written exam and 12 in viva voice)	89	87
3	Deepak	SC	80 (70 in written exam and 10 in viva voice)	89	87
4	Sandeep	EBPGC	75 (66 in written exam and 09 in viva voice)	79	77
5	Sandeep Kumar	BCA	84 (74 in written exam and 10 in viva voice)	92	91

In view of the above factual position, the writ petition stands dismissed, as the petitioners have secured less marks than the last candidate

in their respective category, as mentioned above.

The result in original has been returned back to learned State
counsel in the Court today.

10.04.2019

G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No