

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 17.10.2019

CWP No.18207 of 2018

Vijay

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. A.P.Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Having heard Mr. A.P.Kaushal, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana at considerable length, I am of the opinion that the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak has rightly declined the furlough application of the petitioner.

A perusal of the impugned order as well as the reply filed shows that the petitioner is a habitual offender, as there were two more cases against him though he has been acquitted in them. Since the petitioner has committed murder of two real brothers in the Village, there is tension in the village and the complainants have been provided protection. There is apprehension that if the petitioner is released on parole, then he can again commit the crime.

Consequently, no interference is called for in the present petition and the same is ordered to stand dismissed.

17.10.2019

Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No