

(105) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23491 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.04.2019

Savita Kaushik

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned State counsel has pointed out that in view of the smudging against question No.20 in the OMR sheet, no relief can be granted to the petitioner. In this regard, learned counsel relies upon the judgment passed in *LPA No.1843 of 2016 titled as Sunita Kumari v. State of Haryana and another, decided on 21.09.2016* and *LPA No.92 of 2017 titled as Anshu and others v. State of Haryana, decided on 20.01.2017* as also *LPA No.353 of 2018 titled as Jony and others v. State of Haryana and others, decided on 08.03.2018*.

[2] Faced with the aforesaid position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the writ petition.

[3] In view of the position as noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

09.04.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge